

Application No.: 10/765,494
Amendment Dated: February 8, 2006
Reply to the Office Action of November 18, 2005
Attorney Docket No. ERN-WR-001

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-8 and 10-26 are in the application. Claims 1, 8, 14, 21 and 24 are in independent form. Claims 8, 12-20, and 24 are allowed. Claims 1-7, 10, 11, 21-23, and 25-26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph.

Objections to the Disclosure

The amendment to paragraph [1026] of the disclosure addresses the informalities objected to by the Examiner by adding "S5" after "test switch."

Rejection under 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7, 10, 11, 21-23, and 25-26 stand rejected under 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph for indefiniteness. Applicant thanks the Examiner for his suggested language to make claims 1 and 21 more definite. Applicant has incorporated the suggested language into claims 1 and 21. Amended claims 5 and 10 substitute the term "ship" for "vehicle." Amended claim 21 recites "a time period" instead of "the time period," and amended claim 23 eliminates the superfluous "of." Claims 25 and 26 are amended to depend from claim 24.

Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request the application be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 2/8/06

By: 

Michael O. Scheinberg
Pat. Reg. No. 36,919
P.O. Box 164140
Austin, Texas 78716-4140
Telephone: (512) 328-9510
Fax: (512) 306-1963